Crystalline-amorphous interface of mesoporous Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$H$_y$ for oxygen evolution at high current density in alkaline-anion-exchange-membrane water-electrolyzer
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For industrial high-purity hydrogen production, it is essential to develop low-cost, earth-abundant, highly-efficient, and stable electrocatalysts which deliver high current density (j) at low overpotential ($\eta$) for oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Herein, we report an active mesoporous Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$H$_y$ pre-electrocatalyst, which delivers high $j = 1$ A cm$^{-2}$ at $\eta = 360$ mV in 1 M KOH with long-term durability (12 days), fulfilling all the desirable commercial criteria for OER. The electrocatalyst shows abundant interfaces between crystalline metal phosphide and amorphous phosphorus-doped metal-oxide, improving charge transfer capability and providing access to rich electroactive sites. Combined with an excellent non-noble metal-based HER catalyst, we achieve low-cost metals can be used as catalysts [5]. Researchers have recently developed a highly efficient AAEMWE electrolyzer using NiFe OER catalyst and an electrode binder with the highest ionic concentration to improve electrolyzer performance [3,4,6]. However, it shows poor stability, which limits its application in large-scale hydrogen production. As yet, water electrolysis contributes only less than 5% to global hydrogen production due to practical and economic issues with the electrolyzer fabrication technologies [3]. Therefore, the critical challenge is to develop highly efficient non-noble metal catalysts for large-scale water splitting with low cell voltages towards commercialization.

For the OER is the essential counter half-cell reaction involved in overall water splitting. However, OER suffers from slow kinetics and high energy barrier (hence large $\eta$) of 4-electron oxidative half-cell reaction. Highly efficient OER catalysts are required to boost the overall water splitting [7,8]. The state-of-the-art RuO$_2$ and IrO$_2$ benchmark OER
catalysts have displayed their excellent performances [9]. However, their rocketing price nullifies its commercial viability. In the past few decades, significant efforts have been put to overcome the bottleneck OER in water splitting with reduced η by utilizing the transition metals (TMs) phosphides, sulfides, nitrides, chalcogenides, and thiophosphate materials [7,10]. In this regard, TMs phosphides (TMPs) have enormous potential as OER electrocatalysts due to their excellent electronic conductivity embedded in their intrinsic structures with an added advantage of their reduced prices [7,11–13]. Furthermore, bimetallic TMs bring exotic chemistry in OER. For instance, inclusions of Fe in Ni-based electrocatalysts dramatically modified the OER performances and brought about a 30-fold increment in film conductivity [14]. TMPs aggravate metal oxidation at high η, leading to the formation of unique core-shell structures of TM-P/TM-Ox, which assist in OER [15,16]. The TMP provides an obvious path to promote electronic conductivity, whereas TM-Ox serves as an OER active site [17]. Contrary to the monometallic TMPs, bimetallic TMPs significantly alter the electronic structures and improve the catalyst’s OER performances [18]. Despite several benefits in bimetallic TMPs, their limited active sites restrict the utilization of their full potentials in water splitting reactions.

Additionally, bimetallic TMPs with porous nanostructure such as mesopores provide high surface area, indicating that a large number of active sites are exposed to the electrolyte. The high electrochemical surface area facilitates the adsorption/desorption process, resulting in improved catalytic activity [19]. Besides, the crystalline and amorphous phases in a single structure offer more catalytic active sites, accelerating the charge transfer and mass diffusion ability for highly efficient OER activity [20,21]. Most importantly, for large-scale industrial applications, OER catalysts need to deliver high j ≥ 500 mA cm⁻² at low η ≤ 300 mV [4,22]. Only a few catalysts meet the above mentioned strict commercial criteria for OER, but they do not show the long-term durability for high j operation. Thus, it is imperative to rationally design bimetallic TMPs with numerous active sites to further enhance their electrochemical activities and durability.

Herein, we report the design and synthesis of novel cost-effective Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ nanoparticles (NPs) composite as a highly efficient OER electrocatalyst. First, through a facile solvothermal method, the mesoporous Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH nanosheets were synthesized. Following a phosphorization process, the solid composite nanosheets were converted into the mesoporous Ni₂P @ FePO₄Hₓ, NPs composite. The as-synthesized mesoporous nanostructure of Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst provides abundant exposed active sites and enhanced electrochemical surface area [23,24]. These mesopores also facilitate the adsorption/desorption process, largely improving the catalytic activity [23,24]. In addition, the unique formation of crystalline (Ni₂P) and amorphous (FePO₄Hₓ) phases in a single structure obtained at low-temperature phosphorization provide more electrocatalytic active sites which we speculate further play a significant role in enhanced electrocatalytic performance [20,21]. The OER electrocatalyst demonstrates impressive OER activities in alkaline media (1 M KOH) with long-term stability. The mesoporous Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ shows η = 220, 260, and 360 mV at j = 10, 100, and 1000 mA cm⁻², respectively. These catalysts’ improved electrochemical performances and prolonged stability are well supported by a low charge transfer resistance, mesoporous structure, and the synergistic effect between Ni₁P and FePO₄Hₓ. Hence, the Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ NPs nanocomposite could utilize the crystalline-amorphous interfaces, where the two phases coexist, designed to improve the OER activity and stability over the individual phases, either crystalline or amorphous [20,21]. The mesoporosity of this Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ was confirmed by Bru- nauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis. Along with efficient HER catalyst in a highly corrosive environment (30% KOH) used for commercial water splitting, the catalyst also achieves high j = 500 and 1000 mA cm⁻² at 1.65 and 1.715 V, respectively, with long-term durability. Further, the catalyst delivers j = 1 A cm⁻² at a cell voltage of 1.84 V in a commercial AAEMWE cell working with 1 M KOH, outperforming the commercially used Pt/C–IrO₂ catalyst.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals of analytical grade were used as received without further purification. Nickel (II) chloride, Iron (III) chloride, 5 wt% of Nanof, potassium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, and sodium hypophosphate monohydrate were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Commercial 20 wt% Pt/C was obtained from Johnson Matthey, and iridium oxide powder (IrO₂, 99%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. All aqueous solutions used during the synthesis were prepared using deionized water (DI). Nickel foam (MTI Corporation) was used as received.

2.2. Synthesis of Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH and Ni₂P @ FePO₄Hₓ NPs

The mesoporous Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs were synthesized through a simple hydrothermal method. Typically, FeCl₃ (0.11 M) and NiCl₂ (0.22 M) were dissolved into 120 ml of DI water, and the solution was stirred vigorously for 10 min to form a homogeneous solution. NaOH (2 M) solution in 60 ml of DI water was then added dropwise to the above solution to create a NiFe suspension, which was stirred for 60 min. The obtained Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH suspension was then washed with distilled water and ethanol to remove impurities. Immediately, the Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH suspension without drying was quickly transferred to the aqueous solution of NaOH (2.5 M) in 210 ml of DI water. The aqueous solution was transferred to three 100 ml Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclaves, which are sealed, maintained at 160 °C for 24 h, and then allowed to cool to room temperature naturally. After the reaction, the Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs were taken out and washed with ethanol and water thoroughly before drying at 60 °C for 8 h. To prepare Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ, first Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs precursor (100 mg) and 1 g NaPO₄·H₂O were put on a porcelain boat, separated by a distance of 2–3 cm, with NaPO₄·H₂O on the upstream side and Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs on the downstream side, in a tube furnace. Subsequently, the samples were heated at 350 °C for 2 h in a flow Ar atmosphere. The ramping rate of the furnace was set to 2 °C min⁻¹. For comparison, a series of Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs samples were synthesized according to the method as mentioned above with the Fe:Ni ratios being 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, and 1:4.

2.3. Synthesis of Ni₁P @ Fe₂P₂O₇

The Ni₁P @ Fe₂P₂O₇ was synthesized under a similar method for Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ preparation. The difference was that the Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH NPs were phosphorized at a higher temperature of 650 °C (2 °C min⁻¹) for 2 h to obtain Ni₁P @ Fe₂P₂O₇ NPs.

2.4. Synthesis of Ni₂P

For comparison studies, the Ni₂P NPs were synthesized in the same conditions as Ni₁P @ FePO₄Hₓ NPs. First, Ni(OH)₂ NPs were synthesized in similar conditions as Ni(OH)ₓ @ FeOOH preparation without adding FeCl₃ in the initial state. As obtained, Ni(OH)₂ NPs were further phosphorized at 600 °C for 2 h in the Ar atmosphere (2 °C min⁻¹) to obtain Ni₂P NPs.

2.5. Synthesis of NiMo₄/MoO₃ cuboid arrays

First, we synthesized NiMo₄ cuboid arrays on commercial nickel foam via a hydrothermal procedure previously reported by Zhang et al. [25]. A clean nickel foam was immersed into the as-prepared solution consisting of 34 ml of DI water, Ni(NO₃)₂·6 H₂O (0.04 M) and (NH₄)₂MoO₄·4 H₂O (0.01 M) in a 50 ml Teflon autoclave. The autoclave was then placed in a drying oven, which was heated at 150 °C for 6 h. After water cleaning, the NiMo₄ cuboid arrays grown on nickel foam
were obtained and dried in an oven for 8 h before H₂ treatment. The as-obtained NiMoO₄ cuboid arrays on nickel foam were placed in a tube furnace in an Ar/H₂ (95 sccm Ar / 5 sccm H₂) atmosphere at 500 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the MoNi₂/MoO₂ cuboid arrays were obtained.

2.6. Structure characterization

The high-resolution powder X-ray diffraction (HRPXRD) measurements were performed on a Rigaku (smart lab) X-Ray analysis instrument. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected on a Thermo Fisher (K-alpha) instrument. The cold field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) images were taken using a Hitachi High-Technologies (SU-8220) microscope. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were collected on a JEM-2100 F, JEOL, with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The surface area and porosity were measured on micromeritics instruments (ASAP2420) system. The electrical conductivity of the samples was measured with a CMT2000N four-point probing system. The weight percentages of elements in the catalyst were determined using ICP-MS (PerkinElmer, ELAN DRC-II) and EA (Flash 2000, Thermo Fisher).

2.7. Electrochemical measurements

All electrochemical tests were performed in a three-electrode system in 1 M and 30% KOH electrolyte solution using an electrochemical VSP instrument (BioLogic Science Instruments, Inc.). A graphite rod electrode and Hg/HgO reference were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The as-prepared different powder catalysts were loaded directly on nickel foam and used as the working electrode. First, for drop-casting a catalyst ink was prepared, 5 mg of the catalyst powder was dispersed in a mixture of 50 μL alkaline anion exchange membrane (AAEM) solution, 700 μL of isopropyl alcohol and 250 μL deionized water. After 120 min of sonication, the solution became homogeneous, and from the resultant catalyst ink a total of 4 mg cm⁻² loading amount of catalyst on nickel foam was achieved, and the sample was dried at 40 °C. The OER activity of electrocatalysts was measured using the steady-state polarization curve in an oxygen saturated environment. The steady-state polarization curve with 100% manual iR drop compensation was constructed by sampling OER current density at the 100th second of chronoamperometry (CA) responses acquired at various overpotentials in the catalytic turnover region with a regular interval of 0.050 V [12,26,27]. Similarly, the steady state polarization curves were also obtained for overall water splitting [28]. The steady-state polarization curves were manual iR corrected by the equation of \( I_{corr} = I_{RHE} - i \times R_s \), where \( E_{corr} \) is the corrected potential (V), \( i \) is the test current (A), and \( R_s \) is the solution resistance obtained from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS, Ω). In contrast, the electrocatalyst long-term durability was measured by performing chronopotentiometry and cyclic voltammetry (CV) testing in a three-electrode system. All the Hg/HgO electrode potentials were converted to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to the following equation (Fig. S16): \( E_{(RHE)} = E_{(Hg/HgO)} + 0.905 \). Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the samples were obtained at 1.53 V (vs. RHE) for OER in the frequency range of 10 kHz – 10 mHz with an AC voltage of 10 mV in 1 M KOH electrolyte. Conventional alkaline water electrolysis cell was fabricated by using a separator type of fine glass frit was tested using the Ni₃P@FePO₄Hₓ electrode as an anode and the MoNi₄/MoO₂ electrode as a cathode (Ni₃P@FePO₄Hₓ – MoNi₄/MoO₂) in 1 M and 30% KOH. The distance between anode and cathode is 5 cm. The double-layer capacitance (CD) measurements were made in a non-Faradic region under different applied scan rates ranging from 10 to 50 mV s⁻¹. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) was determined by dividing the CDl with the specific capacitance of the electrode material. The following equation estimated the ECSA:

\[
\text{ECSA} = \frac{C_{dl}}{C_s}
\]

where \( C_s \) the specific capacitance for flat surfaces electrodes, is 0.04 mF cm⁻².

2.7.1. Faradaic efficiency

Faradaic efficiency was calculated by the rotating ring disk electrodes (RRDE) in an entirely inert environment (N₂ or Ar saturated), with the polished Pt ring assembled on a glassy carbon disk. The Ni₃P@FePO₄Hₓ catalyst was drop-cast on the glassy carbon (GC) disk electrode. The oxygen is produced from the working electrode, which will be reduced by the platinum ring electrode on constant potential. The current produced at the ring depends on produced oxygen molecules concentration, and the faradic efficiency was calculated based on the following equation:

Faradaic efficiency = \( \frac{2i_r}{i_dN_{CL}} \)

where \( i_r \) and \( i_d \) represent the ring and disc current, respectively, and \( N_{CL} \) is the ring collection efficiency (%) of the RRDE.

2.7.2. Mass activity ( \( j_{mass} \text{ Ag}^{-1} \))

\( j_m = \frac{j_{geo}}{m} \)

Where \( m \) is catalyst loading (g) and \( j_{geo} \) is geometrical current density (A cm⁻²) at 1.53 V vs. RHE.

2.7.3. Turnover frequency

The TOF of the Ni₃P@FePO₄Hₓ was calculated by using the following equation (29).

\[
\text{TOF} = \frac{(J \times N_A)}{(4 \times F \times \Gamma)}
\]

Where \( J \) stands for current density (A cm⁻²), \( N_A \) is Avogadro number, \( F \) is the Faraday constant, and \( \Gamma \) is the surface concentration of active sites or number of participating atoms in the catalyst material.

2.7.4. Surface concentration of active sites

Surface active concentration of metal sites is integrating the area below the redox peak for the redox reaction of oxide/hydroxide to oxyhydroxide formation (equivalent to the number of redox active Ni atoms). In this method, only the metal sites undergoing in situ oxidation and reduction just before the onset of OER are assumed to be participating in the catalysis of OER. This is reasonable because all OER mechanisms which have been proposed to date in the literature emphasize the in situ oxidation and reduction of the active site in a single complete cycle [29,30].

2.7.5. Membrane pre-treatment for AAEMWE fabrication

Before MEA Fabrication, the Anion Exchange Membrane (Sustain® X37-50) was pretreated in an alkaline solution (0.5 M KOH) for more than 24 h and afterward washed with DI water membrane was ion-exchanged into a hydroxyl form. Furthermore, the pretreated membrane was stored under a humidified and CO₂-free condition to avoid CO₂ contamination.

2.7.6. AAEMWE Assembly

The catalyst-coated substrate (CCS) method was used for the membrane electrode fabrication [5,31]. 6.0 mg of catalyst (Ni₃P@FePO₄Hₓ) was dispersed in 110 μL of deionized water, 310 μL of isopropyl alcohol (IPA), and 80 μL of alkaline anion exchange membrane (AAEM) binder solution with the help of sonication (40–60 min) to form a homogeneous ink. The as-prepared catalyst ink was drop cast on the nickel foam and dried at 60 °C. After that, the catalyst-loaded nickel foam was
The compression of nickel foam reduced the thickness, porosity, and roughness of the foam and also ensured that the catalyst would not be lost during assembly into a single AAEMWE cell [32]. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA) is shown in Fig. 5a. The pretreated membrane was sandwiched between NiP @ FePOxH4 and MoNi4/MoO2 electrodes as anode and cathode, respectively, followed by hot-pressing (40 °C, 5 MPa) for 1–2 min. Then, the NiP @ FePOxH4 and MoNi4/MoO2 electrodes and membrane were assembled into a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA), which was incorporated with other different parts (a stainless-steel endplate, silicone gasket, and Ti gas diffusion layer) into the electrolyzer (Fig. 5a). For comparison studies, the homemade AAEMWE cell hardware was assembled using IrO2 and 20% Pt/C on the nickel foam with a catalyst loading of 2.5 mg cm−2 and 5 mg cm−2, respectively. The active area of the single cell is 2 cm × 2 cm.

2.7.7. Single-cell performance analysis

All electrochemical single-cell measurements were performed using 1 M KOH as an electrolyte at 60 °C temperature under ambient pressure. The KOH solution was fed into the cathode side and recirculated with a water circulator pump. The steady-state polarization curves for NiP @ FePOxHy–MoNi4/MoO2 cells were recorded at constant current densities ranging 50–1000 mA cm−2 (without iR correction).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization

Ni(OH)2 @FeOOH NPs were first synthesized through a hydrothermal method using different Ni/Fe ratios as a typical synthesis. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the Ni(OH)2 @FeOOH NPs match well with the standard patterns of Ni(OH)2 (PDF#00–059–0462) and FeOOH (PDF#01–074–3080) (Fig. 1a). The Ni(OH)2 @FeOOH NPs were phosphorized (350 °C) using NaH2PO2-H2O to obtain NiP and P-doped FeOOH NPs(NiP @ FePOxH4). The XRD measurements further confirmed the formation of NiP and P-doped FeOOH NPs (NiP @ FePOxH4), which was supported by HRTEM. All characteristic peaks of Ni(OH)2 entirely disappeared after phosphorization by converting into NiP. In contrast, the characteristic peaks of P-doped FeOOH were maintained, but no additional XRD peaks of other phosphorus compounds were observed. To find the suitable phosphorization temperature for the best electrocatalyst, different control experiments were performed, and it was found that the phosphorization temperature played a vital role during the formation of NiP @ FePOxH4 NPs. As we further increased the phosphorization temperatures from 350 °C to 450 °C, the NiP @ FePOxH4 structure was maintained (Fig. 5a). However, this composite was fully converted into highly crystalline Ni2P @ FeP2O7 above 550 °C; here, FePOxH4 was converted to Fe2P2O7, and the same structure was maintained up to 650 °C (Fig. 5a). NiP @ FePOxH4 samples with different Fe/Ni ratios were also successfully fabricated. Among all these synthesized samples, the NiP @ FePOxH4 with a Fe/Ni ratio of 1:2 exhibited the best catalytic activity. Besides, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed to determine if the original material was indeed Ni2P @ FePOxH4. The high-resolution Ni 2p spectrum displayed six prominent peaks (Fig. 1b). The peaks located at 853.26, 870.48, 856.98, and 874.82 eV correspond to the Ni 2p3/2, 1/2 of Ni-O, respectively [33]. The oxidized species associated with nickel oxide and phosphates were ascribed to the surface oxidation of Ni3P when it was exposed to air. The XPS spectra Fe 2p of Ni3P @ FePOxH4 (Fig. 1c) showed two major binding energy peaks with two shake-up satellites (sat.) at 711.77 (Fe 2p3/2), 725.38 (Fe 2p1/2), and 732.74 eV (Fe 2p1/2), respectively. The characteristic peaks of P-doped FeOOH NPs (NiP @ FePOxH4) match well with the standard patterns of Ni(OH)2 (PDF#00–059–0462) and FeOOH (PDF#01–074–3080) (Fig. 1a). The Ni(OH)2 @FeOOH NPs were phosphorized (350 °C) using NaH2PO2-H2O to obtain NiP and P-doped FeOOH NPs(NiP @ FePOxH4). The XRD measurements further confirmed the formation of NiP and P-doped FeOOH NPs (NiP @ FePOxH4), which was supported by HRTEM. All characteristic peaks of Ni(OH)2 entirely disappeared after phosphorization by converting into NiP. In contrast, the characteristic peaks of P-doped FeOOH were maintained, but no additional XRD peaks of other phosphorus compounds were observed. To find the suitable phosphorization temperature for the best electrocatalyst, different control experiments were performed, and it was found that the phosphorization temperature played a vital role during the formation of NiP @ FePOxH4 NPs. As we further increased the phosphorization temperatures from 350 °C to 450 °C, the NiP @ FePOxH4 structure was maintained (Fig. 5a). However, this composite was fully converted into highly crystalline Ni2P @ FeP2O7 above 550 °C; here, FePOxH4 was converted to Fe2P2O7, and the same structure was maintained up to 650 °C (Fig. 5a). NiP @ FePOxH4 samples with different Fe/Ni ratios were also successfully fabricated. Among all these synthesized samples, the NiP @ FePOxH4 with a Fe/Ni ratio of 1:2 exhibited the best catalytic activity. Besides, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed to determine if the original material was indeed Ni2P @ FePOxH4. The high-resolution Ni 2p spectrum displayed six prominent peaks (Fig. 1b). The peaks located at 853.26, 870.48, 856.98, and 874.82 eV correspond to the Ni 2p3/2, 1/2 of Ni-O, respectively [33]. The oxidized species associated with nickel oxide and phosphates were ascribed to the surface oxidation of Ni3P when it was exposed to air. The XPS spectra Fe 2p of Ni3P @ FePOxH4 (Fig. 1c) showed two major binding energy peaks with two shake-up satellites (sat.) at 711.77 (Fe 2p3/2), 725.38 (Fe 2p1/2), and 732.74 eV (Fe 2p1/2).
715.90 (Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ sat.), and 732.74 eV (Fe 2p$_{3/2}$sat.), respectively, suggesting that the charge state of Fe was $+3$ [34]. Similarly, the high-resolution P 2p spectrum (Fig. 1d) showed the existence of both metal phosphate (133.68 eV) and metal phosphide (129.38 eV) [35]. All the above results confirm the co-existence of metal phosphates species, Fe$^{3+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Ni$_2$P.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) were employed to study the structure of catalysts (Fig. 2 and Figs. S1–4). FE-SEM (Fig. 2a) and TEM (Fig. S1d) images revealed that mesoporous Ni(OH)$_2$ @FeOOH NPs had a hexagonal nanosheet like morphology (Fig. S1b–f). After phosphorization, the nanosheet-like morphology of Ni(OH)$_2$ @FeOOH NPs was destroyed entirely (Fig. 2b). The HRTEM images showed mixed crystalline and amorphous Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs synthesized at 350 °C (Fig. 2c and Fig. S2a–c). The lattice fringes of the crystalline NPs (Fig. 2c) showed the interplanar spacings (d-spacing) of 0.253 and 0.204 nm corresponding to the (200) and (021) planes of Ni$_2$P NPs [36,37]. These d-spacing results further confirmed the formation of crystalline Ni$_2$P NPs in Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs. The EDS line-scan profiles (Fig. 2d–e) across crystalline to the amorphous phase of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs showed that Ni and P intensities were in the crystalline region compared to Fe and O (Fig. 2f). However, in the amorphous zone, the intensities of Fe and O started to increase while Ni started to decrease, indicating that the crystalline and amorphous zones corresponded to Ni$_2$P and FePO$_4$NPs, respectively (Fig. 2d–f). The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping images (Fig. 2g and Fig. S2d) of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs demonstrated that the Ni element was evenly distributed in the crystalline Ni$_2$P NPs. In contrast, the Fe and O elements were primarily dispersed in the amorphous NPs. Additionally, the P element was uniformly distributed over the Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs. Both the line scan and EDS results confirmed that the crystalline NPs primarily consisted of Ni$_2$P, and the amorphous substrate part was most likely to be FePO$_4$NPs. The formation of crystalline Ni$_2$P NPs suggested that Ni(OH)$_2$ was selectively phosphorized and extracted from Ni(OH)$_2$ @FeOOH during the phosphorization because the Ni$^{2+}$ in Ni(OH)$_2$ could be easily phosphorized by substituting the O atoms with P atoms to form Ni$_2$P. The iron oxyhydroxide (FeOOH) was transformed to iron phosphate rather than iron phosphide due to the high affinity of Fe$^{3+}$ towards oxygen, which further hampered the substitution of O atoms by P atoms [38,39]. The specific surface area and pore size distribution of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs were studied using the N$_2$ adsorption and desorption isotherms. The BET surface area of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs is 17.50 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and the pore size distribution determined by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method showed a narrow peak with a mean value of 31.1 nm (Fig. S5). The electrocatalyst with mesoporous structure usually exhibited high catalytic activity because of more exposed active sites [23,24]. The BET surface area of Ni(OH)$_2$ @FeOOH is 36.34 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$.

3.2. OER activity of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs electrocatalyst

The electrocatalytic activity of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs was investigated using linear-sweep-voltammetry (LSV) in a standard three-electrode system in the O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH electrolyte. The Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs catalyst shows Ni oxidation peaks located in the potential range of 1.3–1.5 V (vs. RHE), before the OER process, for which the oxidation of Ni ranges from low valence states (Ni$^{0}$, Ni$^{2+}$) to high valence states (Ni$^{3+}$ or Ni$^{4+}$) (Fig. S6a). The higher valence states are considered to be the active sites for OER [14,40]. After Fe incorporation, the positive shift of

![Fig. 2. Morphology and chemical composition analysis Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs. (a, b) FE-SEM images of the as-prepared Ni(OH)$_2$ @FeOOH and Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs, respectively. (c) HR-TEM image of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs. (d, e) Atomic-resolution HAADF-STEM and corresponding HR-TEM images of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_4$NPs. (f) Intensity profiles were recorded from the lines shown in panels (d, e). (g) HAADF-STEM image and EDS elemental mapping images.](image-url)
this redox potential indicates alteration of the redox properties of Ni in NiP@FePO4Hx, and such synergistic interaction between NiP and FePO4Hx results in enhanced oxygen evolution (Fig. S6a). This phenomenon of the positive shift of nickel redox potential after iron incorporation was reported previously [41–43]. The steady-state polarization curve was constructed to evaluate the true OER activity and Tafel slope [26,44,45]. The electrocatalytic activity of NiP@FePO4Hx was investigated using the steady-state polarization curve constructed chronoamperometry responses in a standard three-electrode system in the O2 saturated 1 M KOH electrolyte solution. Representative steady-state polarization curve in Fig. 3a shows the j plotted against applied potential vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) of NiP@FePO4Hx NPs electrode, and the OER performance of Ni(OH)2@FeOOH, Ni2P@Fe2P2O7, NiP, and benchmark IrO2 catalysts on nickel foam were also tested under the same condition for comparison. The steady-state polarization curve in Fig. 3a shows that the NiP@FePO4Hx NPs electrode exhibits the best OER performance, with higher current density and lower overpotential. Strikingly, NiP@FePO4Hx catalyst requires a small η = 220 mV to deliver 10 mA cm−2, which is much lower than NiP (300 mV), confirms the synergistic effect by Fe incorporation (Fig. 3a). The combined effect of materials (Ni/Fe) is much superior to the sum of each material given alone (Ni or Fe), and this effect is also known as the synergistic effect. The introduction of Fe in the NiP@FePO4Hx catalyst leads to more accessible active metal sites and an improved electron-rich environment, both of which are highly advantageous to promote OER activity [30,46–48]. The η value of NiP@FePO4Hx is also much lower compared with those of Ni(OH)2@FeOOH (274 mV), NiP@Fe2P2O7 (227 mV), and benchmark IrO2 (269 mV), indicating that the OER catalytic activity is enhanced after the formation of NiP@FePO4Hx NPs. What is more important is that it only required low η = 260 and 360 mV to achieve j = 100 and 1000 mA cm−2, respectively. Besides, we measured a minimal Tafel slope of 43 mV dec−1 for NiP@FePO4Hx catalyst (Fig. 3b), which is much smaller than those of the reference materials NiP (55 mV dec−1), and Ni(OH)2@FeOOH (52 mV dec−1), suggesting its fast catalytic rate and favorable OER kinetics. We performed electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and double-layer capacitance (Cdl) examinations on this NiP@FePO4Hx electrode to determine the possible origins of such remarkably high OER performance.

The catalyst’s enlarged electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) plays a vital role in boosting OER electrocatalyst catalytic performance. To make sure this point, the capacitance Cdl determined by a simple CV method was introduced, which is proportional to the catalyst active surface area (Fig. S7a–h). By comparing the Cdl values among different catalysts, we found that the NiP@FePO4Hx catalyst had a capacitance of 0.48 mF cm−2, very close to those of Ni(OH)2@FeOOH (0.44 mF cm−2) and NiP (0.43 mF cm−2). The Cdl values demonstrated that phosphorization of Ni(OH)2@FeOOH did not significantly change the active surface area, but the OER performance of NiP@FePO4Hx was much better than Ni(OH)2@FeOOH, NiP@Fe2P2O7, and NiP. Furthermore, from the ECSA normalized current densities of catalyst shown in Fig. S7i, the NiP@FePO4Hx composite required moderate η = 265 mV to deliver 10 mA cm−2, smaller than those of Ni(OH)2@FeOOH (337 mV), NiP@Fe2P2O7 (279 mV), and NiP (361 mV). From here, we conclude that the synergistic effect between NiP and FePO4Hx in the composite is the main factor in our superior catalytic activity, not the ECSA. Meanwhile, we also performed EIS measurements to find out the electrode kinetics of different catalysts. EIS spectra showed that this NiP@FePO4Hx composite had a smaller charge-transfer resistance, suggesting its high electronic conductivity and fast charge transfer kinetics at the electrode-electrolyte interface during the OER (Fig. 3c). Additionally, their specific electrocatalytic activities were also obtained by normalizing the measured currents with respect to their BET surface areas before and after phospherization (Fig. 3a). Their specific electrocatalytic activities exhibited almost the same trend with their geometric area and ECSA normalized electrocatalytic activities. Consistently, the intrinsic electrical conductivity/resistance of the as-prepared materials in Fig. S8b,c confirmed the above results. Besides, the intrinsic OER activity of as-synthesized nanoparticles was investigated. The mass activity and turnover frequency (TOF) was calculated at an η = 300 mV (Fig. 3d) [29,49]. The TOF of NiP@FePO4Hx catalyst (1.45 × 10−4 s−1) was higher than that of NiP@Fe2P2O7 (6.6 × 10−4 s−1), and Ni(OH)2@FeOOH (2.97 × 10−5 s−1). We achieved a mass-
activity of 96.25 Ag⁻¹ with Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ. 7.7 fold higher than Ni (OH)₂ @FeOOH, revealing the excellent OER activity of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ (Fig. 3d). Table S1 provides the summary data of different critical information.

Furthermore, the catalytic OER performances were compared by changing the Fe/Ni ratio in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst and phosphorization temperature. Fe/Ni in the ratio of 1:2 with 4 mg catalyst loading showed the best catalytic activity compared to other catalyst ratios in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ (Fig. S6b-c). The Ni₃P catalyst alone without Fe incorporation did not show high OER activity. Once the amorphous FePO₄Hₓ composite with crystalline Ni₃P was formed (350 °C), the performance was highly improved, which was better than the fully crystalline Ni₃P @ Fe₃PO₄ (650 °C) composite (Fig. S8d). These OER comparisons confirm the strong synergistic effect between Ni/Fe and crystalline-amorphous interphase in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ composite [14,20, 21]. Compared to the pure crystalline composite, the amorphous phase of FePO₄Hₓ having a higher number of randomly-oriented bonds with unsaturated electronic arrangements promoted the adsorption of reactants. Meanwhile, the crystalline phase of Ni₃P could facilitate electron transfers to the adsorbed reactants, which we speculate would improve the catalytic activity of the composite [20,21,50]. Consistently, the EIS (Fig. 3c) and electrical conductivity/resistance (Fig. S8b-c) measurements confirm the conductivity of metal phosphides, which is higher than oxides. Primarily, oxidized species (FePO₄Hₓ) with Ni₃P in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ play a critical role in superior OER activity, according to many recent studies [50]. The FePO₄Hₓ shows different coordination modes during the redox switching process and helps improve the OER activity [50,51]. The formation of Ni₃P-FePO₄Hₓ oxide interface (metal phosphide–metal-oxide interface) is beneficial to the adequate carrier transportation from the phosphide core to the oxidized species [50–52]. Considering all the above results, we conclude that the excellent OER performance of our Ni₃P-FePO₄Hₓ catalyst is attributed to the presence of a sufficient number of amorphous-crystalline sites, mesoporous structure, and synergistic effects between Ni₃P and FePO₄Hₓ.

Electrochemical long-term stability is another critical criterion to evaluate the OER performance of electrocatalysts. As shown in Fig. S8c, after 10,000 cycling tests, the LSV curve of this Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ composite is nearly identical to the initial one, suggesting its superb stability during cycling scans. We also assessed the catalytic stability of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ. The chronopotentiometry curves were recorded at 100 mA cm⁻² in 1 M KOH solution, finding that the potential remained nearly constant for more than 12 days (288 h), with minimal potential loss rates (only 0.02 mV h⁻¹). The stability test further confirms the long-term durability of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst for OER. After such long-time electrocatalyst stability tests, further insights into the chemical compositions for post OER samples by XPS, SEM, and HR-PXRD confirm a mixture of nickel and iron oxides/oxyhydroxides formed during the OER test in the alkaline environment (Fig. S9-12).

After the cyclic stability tests, FE-SEM and elemental mapping images (Fig. S9b-d) of the generated sample indicate no apparent change in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ nanoparticle morphology. As shown in the XRD pattern (Fig. S9a), the characteristic diffraction peaks of Ni₃P and FeOOH were detected. The peaks assigned to Ni₃P showed a decrease in intensity compared to the initial Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst, while some new peaks attributed to nickel oxides/oxyhydroxides appeared after the OER test. However, the XPS analysis showed the disappearance of the low-energy characteristic peaks of 129.38 and 553.39 eV belonging to Ni₃P (Fig. S11b). After cyclic stability tests (Fig. S10), this suggests the surface oxidation of Ni₃P to form nickel oxides/oxyhydroxides during OER [38,53,54]. The XPS spectrum (Fig. S10c) of the P element whose peak at 133.68 eV decreased significantly after the stability test confirms that FePO₄Hₓ was not entirely oxidized to form iron oxides/oxyhydroxides. A small amount of FePO₄Hₓ was still left. HRTEM (Fig. S11a-b) images of the generated sample indicate the amorphous iron phosphate was transformed into crystalline FeOOH in 1.0 M KOH, which was also confirmed by XRD measurement (Fig. S9a).

Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ after long-term stability test performed at a constant j = 100 mA cm⁻² in 1 M KOH further confirm the complete surface oxidation of Ni₃P and FePO₄Hₓ to form nickel and iron oxides/oxyhydroxides during OER (Fig. S12). The XPS spectrum (Fig. S12d) of the P element in Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ does not show any P characteristic peaks, which confirms the complete oxidation FePO₄Hₓ to form iron oxide/oxyhydroxides. The FE-SEM EDS elemental analysis (Fig. S13b and Table S2) also confirms the instability of phosphorous during the long stability tests. These observations indicate that the Ni and Fe oxides/oxyhydroxides formed on the surface of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst during the OER enhanced the active catalytic sites for the OER catalysis [50,53,55]. Furthermore, the physical stability of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ was also confirmed with inductively coupled ICP-MS measurement of Ni and Fe in the electrolyte (Fig. S13a and Table S3) after a 12 days constant current electrolysis at a constant j = 100 mA cm⁻². As shown in Table S3, after 12 days of electrolysis, trace amounts of Fe (0.576 mg L⁻¹) and Ni (0.691 mg L⁻¹) were detected in the electrolyte, which correspond to only 6.5 wt% of Fe and 4.4 wt% of Ni in the fresh electrode.

It is suggested that metal phosphides and phosphates-based electrocatalysts are not stable under practical alkaline OER conditions. They typically transform into metal oxides/oxyhydroxides during the OER process because of the leaching of the phosphorus [42,50]. The phosphorus leaching further results in the structural reorganization, increased surface area, and roughening, as smaller oxides/oxyhydroxides anions commonly replace the larger phosphorus anion [56-58]. The increased surface area from the new porous structures arising from phosphorous leaching results in a higher number of active sites for the enhanced OER performance. The Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ catalyst, after long-time electrolycatalyst stability tests, converted into nickel and iron oxides/oxyhydroxides. However, it still showed better performance than native metal oxides/oxyhydroxides (Ni(OH)₂ @FeOOH) because of better surface roughness and the higher number of active sites [58]. Paraﬁdic efﬁciency from rotating ring-disc electrodes (RRDE) was also calculated for Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ to determine the efﬁciency of a catalyst to transfer electrons provided by the external circuit, showing that the as-prepared catalyst has an efﬁciency of ~98.9%, according to Fig. 3f.

3.3. OER activity of Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ electrode as a conventional alkaline water electrolysis cell

First, a robust MoNi₄/MoO₂ cuboid array was prepared as a non-noble metal-based HER electrocatalyst to make a conventional alkaline electrolyzer in 1 M KOH (Fig. S14) [25]. A water electrolysis cell was tested using the Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ electrode as the anode and MoNi₄/MoO₂ electrode as the cathode (Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ–MoNi₄/MoO₂) in 1 M KOH (Fig. 4a) [25]. Surprisingly, the full water-splitting activity of this cell is superior to the commercial Pt/C-IrO₂ on nickel foam. Ni₃P @ FePO₄Hₓ–MoNi₄/MoO₂ electrocatalyst at room temperature delivers a cell voltage of 1.491 V to achieve a water-splitting j = 10 mA cm⁻², which is substantially lower than that of the commercial benchmarking Pt/C-IrO₂ electrocatalysts (1.574 V) (Fig. 4b and Fig. S14e). This OER performance is superior to most recently reported full water splitting cells, which usually need cell voltages higher than 1.50 V to deliver a minimum j = 10 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 4d and Table S4). Most importantly, our water-electrolyzer produces current densities of 100 and 500 cm⁻² at cell voltages of 1.58 and 1.724, respectively. Impressively, this performance is superior to Pt/C-IrO₂ electrocatalyst at the j = 100 and 500 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 4b). In contrast, even at 1.7 V cell voltage, most of the previously reported electrocatalysts cell delivered j < 142 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 4e and Table S4). The polarized curves Pt/C-IrO₂ in Fig. 4c show the oxidation peaks at 1.30–1.45 V, which correspond to the oxidation of Ni₃P to Ni₃(II) in NF substrate. Electrochemical long-term stability for overall water splitting is an essential metric for catalytic properties. As for the long-term
stability studies, Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$–MoNi$_x$/MoO$_y$ electrolyzer sustains a full water-splitting activity remarkably with minor decay for more than 108 h when operated at constant j = 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 4c), with the nominal voltage loss rate of only ~0.06 mV h$^{-1}$.

Finally, we analyzed the electrocatalytic performances of the Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ catalyst under extreme rigorous conditions such as concentrated alkaline water electrolysis (30% KOH), which is often required in commercial alkaline water electrolysis [59,60]. The OER polarization curve of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ in 30% KOH shows low η = 246 and 270 mV to achieve current densities of 500 and 1000 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively (Fig. S15a). These results fully satisfy the requirements of commercial water electrolysis (for example, ≥500 mA cm$^{-2}$ at η ≤ 300 mV). Furthermore, the long-term durability of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ in 30% KOH was also confirmed. This catalyst shows remarkable stability sustaining its outstanding OER performance for more than 100 h when operated at a constant j = 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. S15b) with a negligible voltage loss of only 0.210 mV h$^{-1}$. These results further indicated that Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ is catalytically highly stable, active, and mechanically robust. As a proof of concept toward industrial applications, it is necessary to investigate Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ for the alkaline water electrolysis cell in 30% KOH. This fabricated cell delivered the overall water splitting with a catalytic $j = 10$ mA cm$^{-2}$ at a low voltage of 1.485 V and generated industrially required $j = 100$, 500, and 1000 mA cm$^{-2}$ at only 1.557, 1.65, and 1.715 V at room temperature, respectively (Fig. S15c). The Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$–MoNi$_x$/MoO$_y$ cell was very stable during whole water splitting for more than 56 h when operated at constant large current densities of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 30% KOH (Fig. S15d), with minimal voltage loss of only 0.192 mV h$^{-1}$. Overall, these full water splitting results in 1 M and 30% KOH imply that our Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ electrocatalyst has excellent potential for commercial hydrogen production with high productivity and low cost.

3.4. OER activity of Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ in Commercial AAEMWE cell

To utilize non-noble metal-based oxides for commercial H$_2$ production, we developed a proto-type AAEMWE cell mainly to replace high-cost PEM water electrolysis (Fig. 5a). We demonstrated our Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ electrocatalyst commercial viability in 1 M KOH, consisting of a commercial anion exchange membrane (Sustainion® X37–50) as a separator. The Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ and MoNi$_x$/MoO$_y$ electrodes were used as anode and cathode, respectively, in 1 M KOH as an electrolyte at 60 ºC (Fig. 5b). The Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$–MoNi$_x$/MoO$_y$ electrocatalyst delivered high $j = 1$ A cm$^{-2}$ at a cell voltage of 1.84 V, which is far better than commercially used Pt/C–IrO$_x$ catalysts ($j = 460$ mA cm$^{-2}$ at 1.84 V) in 1 M KOH. The Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$–MoNi$_x$/MoO$_y$ AAEMWE cell stability was tested by applying a constant voltage (Fig. 5c and Fig. S18). During the long-term durability test, the AAEMWE cell displayed a constant $j = 480$ mA cm$^{-2}$ of 1.75 V for 72 h, without any performance degradation. This demonstration could encourage a new focus on water electrolysis toward more commercial applications.

4. Conclusion

A unique, robust, and durable mesoporous Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ OER pre-catalyst with an abundant crystalline-amorphous interface was successfully synthesized. This OER catalyst only required an η = 360 mV to yield a high j = 1000 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1 M KOH with excellent long-term stability for 10,000 cycles during OER testing and survive at 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ operation for more than 12 days. The excellent OER activity is attributed to rich metal phosphide-metal oxide and crystalline-amorphous interfaces with mesoporous structure, resulting in more active sites and accessible surface area with fast charge transfer and mass diffusion. Besides, by coupling Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$P$_y$ with another
efficient non-noble HER catalyst in the highly corrosive environment required for industrial application, an outstanding alkaline water-electrolyzer was demonstrated with low voltages of 1.65 and 1.715 V for commercially required $j = 500$ and 1000 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively, for full water splitting in 30% KOH. Furthermore, the extraordinary Ni$_2$P @ FePO$_x$H$_y$–MoNi$_4$/MoO$_2$ catalytic activity in AAEMWE confirms its commercial application for large-scale hydrogen production. The synthesis process for such a robust electrocatalyst is economically viable and highly suitable for industrially required standards. We believe this work would open new avenues for low-cost, efficient, and economical hydrogen production by water splitting.
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